

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND UNIVERSITY RESEARCH PUBLICATION

## International Journal Of Scientific And University Research Publication

ISSN No 2364/2018

Listed & Index with ISSN Directory, Paris



Multi-Subject Journal



Volum: (3) | Issue: 211 |

Research Paper



## STUDY OF SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS AND ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTERN OF ISOLATES AT A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL IN AMRITSAR

Dr. Bimla Devi || Professor Department of Microbiology

Government Medical College Amritsar, Punjab.

Surgical site infections are the third most frequently reported nosocomial infections accounting the infections in hospitalised patients. Methodology: Two

ها، واحد

s. Methodology: Two hundred swabs/pus specimens from the patients developing surgical site infections at Guru Nanak Dev Hospital, GMC, Amritsar were processed in the Department of microbiology during JanNov2015, by standard methods and antibiotic susceptibility testing of all the isolates was done by using Kirby Bauer disc diffusion technique. Results: Of the 153 organisms isolated, the most common was Staphylococcus aureus (47.7%), followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa (16.99%), Escherichia coli (14.37%), Klebsiella pneumoniae (9.15%), miscellaneous gram negative rods (9.14%) and Streptococcus pyogenes (2.61%). About 30% of the Staphylococcus aureus isolates were found to be methicillin resistant. Conclusion: We should clearly understand and identify this as a problem and devise a system to track, analyze and monitor these.

Surgical site infections (SSL2s)الكلمان الرؤ(Surgeries.

مقدمة

One hundred and fifty three organisms were isolated from the 200 specimens processed. One hundred and five specimens yielded growth of single organism while two isolates were present in rest of the twenty four cases. The most common pathogen isolate was, Staphylococcus aureus (47.71%), followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa (16.99%), Escherichia coli (14.37%), Klebsiella pneumoniea (9.15%), Streptococcus pyogenes (2.61%) and miscellaneous gram negative rods (9.14%) including Acinetobacter baumannii, Proteus mirabilis and Citrobacter diversus (Table 1)

|P|N|O| able 1. Pathogens isolated from Surgical site infectionsT

er o. rg an nt is % m 4 7 St 7. 3 ap 7 h 1 yl oc oc S au re us 2 Ps 6. 6 eu 9 d 9 0 m o na S ae ru gi n os a 2 E 2 3 he 7 ri ch ia Сo

li

Surgical site infections (SSI) are the third most frequently reported nosocomial infections accounting for 14-16% of all the infections in hospitalised patients. Among surgical patients SSI are the most common nosocomial infections1. These remain a complication of surgical procedures resulting in increased morbidity, mortality and cost2. The risk of developing a surgical site infection depends upon the balance between factors determining the number of bacteria contaminating the site and the factors determining the resistance of the site against infection3,4.One of the major problems faced by the surgeons these days is to deal with the post surgical infections, as most of these are being caused by multiple resistant bacteria.Gram positive cocci and Gram negative bacilli are being implicated in most of such cases 5,6. The problem of infected surgical sites can only be tackled properly if all these are examined bateriologically and feed back given to the surgeons well in time, so that they can treat these with appropriate antibiotics7. Not only this but, the micro-biologist should provide them the guidance regarding proper use of prophylactic antibiotics. But, according to the cure". prevention of surgical rate infections by adopting basic principles of asepsis is the key to the solution of this problem8.

#### aterial and Methods:M

Two hundred wound swabs/pus specimens collected from patients developing surgical site infections during a period from January 2015 to November 2015, were included in the study. Most of our patients were young males (n=80). Rest were females (n=38) and children (n=11). The age range was between 4-65 years and had undergone different kinds of surgery including general surgery (n70), gynecological/obstetric surgery (n48) and orthopedic surgery (n11). Pus swabs/specimens were collected from infected surgical sites by standard technique using commercially available sterile stick swabs. The specimens were immediately transported to the Department of Microbiology, GMC, Amritsar for bacteriological study. All the specimens were inoculated onto blood and MacConkey's agar within two hours of collection. The agar plates were incubated at 37°C aerobically and were examined for the presence of any growth after 24 hours. Those plates showing no growth were incubated for another 24 hours. The isolates were identified by colonial morphology, Gram's stain and conventional biochemical tests. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the isolates was studied using Kirby Bauer method9. Mueller Hinton agar (Difco) was used for antibiotic susceptibility testing. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25932, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 were included as control strains.

:Results

1 4 le 5 bs ie lla p ne u m o ni a 3. 6 A 9 ci

2

2. 4 Pr 6 ot

1 eu

6 tr

ne

to

ba ct

er

ba

u m an ni i

m ir ab ili

	Staphylococcus		
	aureus %		
Streptococcus		Antibiotic	
pyogenes %			
-	86.3	Amikacin	
-	45.2	Gentamycin	
-	65.75	Ciprofloxacin	
0	49.31	Cotrimazole	
-	30.1	Methicillin	
100	100	Vancomycin	
100	54.79	Cephalexin	

Gram positive cocci

In case of Pseudomonas aeruginosa only 8 isolates (30.7%) were gentamicin sensitive. Quite a few strains were also found resistant to piperacillin tazobactum, ciprofloxacin and ceftazidime. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of all the Gram negative rods (GNRs) studied is shown in (Table 3).

f oable 3. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern (Percent sensitive)T Gram negative rods (GNRs)

Miscel-	Klebsie		Pseu- d	
laneous	lla pne		omonas	
GNRs	umo-	Escheri	aerugin	Antibio
	niae	chia	osa	tic
		coli		
50	42.8	54.5	50	Amika
				cin
57.1	50	36.3	30.7	Genta
				mycin
28.6	50	40.9	53.8	Ciprofl
				oxacin
71.42	50	50	50	Ceftazi
				dime
64.2	64.2	40.9	69.2	Ceftria
				xone
				Sulbact
				um- ce
71.42	42.8	63.6	73	ftriaxo
				ne
				Piperac
				illin –
64.3	71.4	72.7	61.5	
				tazobac
				tum
57.1	57.1	72.7	84.6	Imipen
				em

#### :niossucDis

Surgical site infection can be defined as the presence of pus alongwith signs of inflammation in the surgical wound margins. Predisposing underlying conditions for surgical site infections include immune suppression, irradiation, steroid administration, diabetes mellitus and malnutrition 10. The risk of infection after surgery depends upon the factors including the type and length of surgical procedure; age, underlying conditions and previous history of the patient: skill of the surgeon; diligence with which infection control procedures are applied and the type and timing of preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis11 Most of the patients included in the study were young males with minimal predisposing factors except that six of these were diabetic. So the factors most probably operative in causing infections in our patients were related to the surgical team or surgical environment. Staphylococcus aureus is considered to be the leading pathogen in such post surgical wound infections followed by the members of the enterobacteriaceae12. But in our study the Pseudomonas aerugi nosa was the second commonest isolate after Staphylococcus aureus. Otokunefor TV and

1 0 k 2. 4 St 6 1 T 5 ot In case of Staphylococcus aureus 30% of the isolates were found to other antibiotics are shown in (Table 2) f oable2. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern(Percent sensitive) T

resistant to methicillin. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram positive cocci (Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyogenes)

DatuboBrown DD also have found similar isolates in most of the patients included in their study13.Most of our isolates were found resistant to the commonly used antibiotics. This is a matter of great concern because treatment of such infections warrants newer and costly antibiotics. The incidence of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in our study in about 30%. MRSA infections cannot be treated by beta lactarnase resistant penicillins and not even by the cepha losporins14 . Treatment of these infections is possible either by the Fluroquinolones (if the isolate is found sensitive) or by the vancomycin only15. More than 50% were sensitive to ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin which are thus the minimal choice to treat Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections. sensitivity of Pseudomonas Maximum was seen to Imepenem, sulbactum-ceftriaxone and piperacillin tazobactum.. But an empirical treatment to be really effective against such isolates will have to include either amikacin or one of the carbapenems alone or in combination. Even the Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumonia and the other Gram negative isolates in our study are showing fairly high antibiotic resistance. In view of such highly resistant organisms causing wound infections in our hospital. it will become very difficult to treat these cases. So the only hope lies with prevention of such surgical site infections. To achieve this goal we will have to return to the preventive measures including fundamental principles of asepsis. Individual patient risk factors must be identified and modified whenever possible. In addition to the skin asepsis and perioeprative prophylactic antibiotics, care and attention to the theatre

#### استنتاج

surgical site infections.

We should clearly understand and identify the SSI as a problem and devise a system to track, analyze and monitor these. Hospital infection control committees should meet regularly and make recommendations at all levels for prevention of such incidents. Otherwise it will be impossible to overcome the serious issues of economic loss and high hospital morbidity and mortality caused by SSI.

environment is also very important 16. Last but not the least, surgical expertise and theatre discipline are the essential components against

#### ref\_str

- . Horan TC, Pearson ML, et al. "Guideline for Mangram AJ .1 Prevention of Surgical Site Infection 1999" issued by ('enters for Disease Control and Prevention through its 1 2-member Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Am. J. Infect. Control, 1999;27:27-30.
- . Risk factors for surgical wound infection Ugeskr. **Bay Nielsen M**.2 Laeger..1996;158:5749-53
- Prevention and treatment of hospital acquired infection. Wenzel RP.3
   In: Wyngaarden, Smith Ed, Cecil textbook of medicine. 18th ed,
   Philadelphia, WB Saunders Co., 988. pp.
- Interest and possibilities of post operative registration of **Noer HH**.3 wound infections in Danish orthopaedic department' A Study Survey.

  Int. J. Clin.. Monit. Comput., 1990;I:21-26.
- , Deere D. Joly ML. Opportunistic nosocomial multiply **Bergogne BE**.4
  -resistant bacterial nfections their treatment and prevention. J
  Antimicrob. Chemother.,1993;32:Suppl A:39-47.
- , Lee JT. Continuous 10 year wound infection surveillance. Olson MM.5 Arch.Surg.1990;125:794-803.
- , Cartless JG, Nichols L. Epidemiology and prevention of **Gorback SL**.6 surgical infections. 1st ed, Boston, Little Brown and Co., 1984, pp.
- . Cowan and Steel's manual for the identification of Cowan ST.7
- Medical Bacteria.2nd Edition, Cambridge University, Press, 1974, pp., Kirby WM, Shris JC, et al. Antibiotic susceptibility testing **Baur AW**.8
  - by a standarized single disk method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol.,

    1966;45:493-96.

- . Hospital associated infections. In: Topley and Wilson's **Speller OCE**.9

  Principles of Bacteriology, Virology and Immunology. London,
  Edward Anold, 1990,3: 142-67.
  - . Post operative infections in the age of drug resistant Nicholas RL .10 gram positive bacteria. Am. J. Med., 1998;104(5A):11S-165.
- , Aseffa A. Surgical wound infection in a teaching hospital **Kotisso B**.11 in Ethiopia.East Afr. Med. J., 1998;75:402-405.
- and DatuboBrown DD. Bacteriology of wound **Otokunefor TV**.12 infections in a surgical ward of a teaching hospital. West Afr. J. Med.. 1990:9:285-90.
  - Failure of cephalosporins to prevent Staphylococcus Kernodle DS .13 aureus surgical wound infections. JAMA, 1990;263:961-66.
  - , Long MN, Belcher B. Higher overall nosocomial infection **Sta AM** .14 rate because of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Am. J. Infect. Control, 1993;2 1:70-74
    - microbiologist's view of factors contributing to **Emmerson M. A**.15 infection. New Horiz, 1998;6(2 Suppl): S3-10.



# IJSURP Publishing Academy International Journal Of Scientific And University Research Publication Multi-Subject Journal

### Editor.

International Journal Of Scientific And University Research Publication



www.ijsurp.com