



International Journal Of Scientific And University Research Publication

ISSN No **301/704**

Listed & Index with
ISSN Directory, Paris



Multi-Subject Journal



FISH NUTRITION AND CURRENT ISSUES IN AQUACULTURE: THE BALANCE IN PROVIDING SAFE AND NUTRITIOUS SEAFOOD, IN AN ENVIRONMENTALLY SUSTAINABLE MANNER

**Stefanie M Hixson || Department of Ocean Sciences
Memorial University of Newfoundland
St. John's
Newfoundland and Labrador
Canada.**

Global aquaculture production has increased in recent years and it is predicted that aquaculture will become the most reliable supply of seafood in the future. However, there are

many controversial issues in aquaculture regarding food safety, nutrition, and sustainability; many of which are directly related to the nutrition and feeds for farmed fish. These nutrition-related issues must be considered in order to achieve balance in safe and nutritious food production and sustainability in aquaculture. This review highlights recent studies and discusses new and innovative aspects in fish nutrition. Some issues in the area of fish nutrition require consideration and improvement, such as: feed and nutrient efficiency, overfeeding and waste, fish meal and fish oil replacements, fish health, biotechnology, and human health concerns. The findings reviewed in this manuscript demonstrate promise toward improvement of the aquaculture industry through nutrition. This review is an update in fish nutrition research, and provides insight on the progression and evolution of this field in order to meet the needs of the industry with the purpose to achieve a balance in seafood production and environmental sustainability. The outcome of this review encourages the use of biotechnology as a tool to meet seafood production and environmental sustainability, in order to ensure global food security in the future and to improve our resource use.

**Aquaculture; Biotechnology; Feeds;
Health; Nutrition; Sustainability.**

مقدمة

Linoleic Acid (LA, 18:2 ω 6), with varying requirements for eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, 20:5 ω 3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6 ω 3) depending on species. Dietary lipids are also important structural components of membranes, and act as precursors of steroid hormones and prostaglandins in fish. Dietary carbohydrates can be a source of energy for fish; however their ability to utilize dietary carbohydrate for energy varies depending on the species and their natural diet. Therefore, depending on species, protein and lipid are the main source of energy for fish. Feeds in aquaculture are formulated with a balance of nutrients in order to meet specific nutrient requirements for different species, life stages and other purposes.

The digestibility of nutrients in the feed can affect aquaculture production efficiency and impact the environment. The bioavailability or digestibility of the diet is the proportion of nutrients in the feed that is digested and absorbed by the fish. Data on the digestibility and available digestible energy of feed ingredients in fish diets are essential for optimization of feed formulations [1]. Feeds that are poorly digested result in limited growth and feces with high nutrient content, which pollutes the environment. Therefore, the digestibility of nutrients and the potential for nutrient retention and waste must be considered for efficient and sustainable animal production when considering feed formulations.

Growing fish accrete new tissues and some of the energy supplied in the diet is stored as protein, lipid and some glycogen. Protein deposition depends on the balance of available amino acids in protein and the digestible protein-to-digestible energy ratio. Excess energy intake and low protein levels result in the deposition of lipid as recovered energy, which does not equate to faster growth and is an inefficient use of nutrients. Fish have the ability to utilize lipids for energy, saving protein for deposition and growth [2]; therefore inclusion of lipids in diets for fish is important for both growth and energy purposes. Most commercial feeds today are formulated to increase growth performance by exploiting the protein-sparing effect of high energy lipid, allowing as much of the dietary protein as possible to be converted into muscle protein. As a result, the production efficiency of farmed salmon has significantly improved over time [3]. Today, the use of more highly digestible nutrient density extruded feeds (46 to 50% protein, 20 to 24% fat) allows commercial farmers to achieve a Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) of) grown to *mykiss* *Oncorhynchus* about 0.9-1.2 for rainbow trout (

Aquaculture requires optimisation of nutrition to efficiently raise fish for the purpose of food production. Fish nutrition is the study of nutrients and energy sources essential for fish health, growth and reproduction. Global consumption of seafood is increasing, while the amount of captured fish is declining, therefore it is predicted that aquaculture will provide the most reliable supply of seafood in the coming years. With the world's rapidly expanding population, it is important to provide safe and nutritious fish; however there are many issues related to fish nutrition that need to be considered in order to achieve balance in food production and sustainability. Sustainability of the aquaculture industry is an environmental, economic and social concern; however, this review focused specifically on environmental sustainability in regards to fish nutrition. Certain issues related to fish nutrition have become controversial because they impact the environment and/or affect the final product for consumption. Some of these issues include: feed and nutrient efficiency, overfeeding and waste, unsustainable feed ingredients, fish health issues, biotechnology and human health concerns. Ultimately, each of these issues can affect the final product for human consumption, either nutritionally, environmentally or economically. Achieving a balance between efficient and safe food production with environmental sustainability will be a challenge for the industry. The following is a review of several issues in farmed fish nutrition, relating to providing quality food products while maintaining environmental sustainability, emphasizing limitations of this balance and strategies for improvement.

[fish nutrition and nutrient efficiency in aquaculture](#)

The essential nutrients for fish are amino acids, fatty acids, vitamins, minerals and energy-yielding macronutrients (protein, lipid and carbohydrate). Diets for fish must supply all essential nutrients and energy required to meet the physiological needs of growing animals. Guidelines for nutrient adequacy for some farmed fish species suggest the minimum nutrient requirement to promote growth and prevent signs of nutrient deficiency [1]. Protein is required in the diet to obtain amino acids, which are utilized to synthesize new proteins or maintain existing proteins in tissues while excess protein is converted to energy. Lipids supply essential fatty acids and energy in the diet. The requirement of essential fatty acids can only be met by supplying Long Chain (LC) Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids (PUFA) in the diet, specifically α -Linolenic Acid (LNA, 18:3 ω 3) and

) farm (*Salmo salar* fish and faeces were studied at an Atlantic salmon to estimate the release rates of wastes from salmon cages and the qualities of particulate wastes as food resources for integrated multitrophic aquaculture. The study found that both salmon feed and) and sea *Mytilus edulis* faeces were adequate food for blue mussels (cucumbers (species unknown), and the nutrient content may meet their nutritional requirements, including DHA and EPA contents of feces, which were comparable to those of some phytoplankton species [13]. Other studies have also reported successful incorporation of nutrients from salmon waste feed into the tissue of blue mussels [14,15]. Choice of the extractive species and distance from the feeding location is an important consideration. For example, in a study on a commercial salmon farm in British Columbia, Canada, mussels had significantly higher amounts of DHA compared with other molluscs (chitons, clam, limpets, periwinkles and whelks), which indicates their potential in IMTA. The levels of DHA in mussels showed a significant breakpoint at 339 m from the farm, which suggests that distance should be considered to optimize certain nutrients [16]. However, organic fish waste captured by mussels is limited by the time available to intercept solid wastes contained in the horizontal particle flux, the velocity of the current, available IMTA farm space, and any negative feedback effects on fish culture from flow reduction caused by mussel culture [17]. New research is focused on expanding novel fish and extractive species. A study *Strongylocentrotus* recently demonstrated that green sea urchins () actively ingest and absorb organic material from the *droebachiensis* waste produced by sablefish (*Anoplopoma fimbria*) culture. Further research was recommended to determine the effect of the sablefish waste diet on green sea urchin survivorship, growth, and gonad quality for urchin production [18]. Multi-species production in an IMTA system must be optimized and better utilized in the future to increase productivity and improve sustainability.

[ustainable feeds and ingredientsS](#)

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), at least half of the world's recognized fish stocks are fully exploited and 32% are overexploited or depleted [19]. With the production of farmed fish surpassing that of wild fish, aquaculture's share of global Fish Meal (FM) and Fish Oil (FO) consumption has more than doubled over the past decade [20]. For the past 25 years, annual FM and FO production has not increased beyond 1.5 million tons per year; therefore the aquaculture industry cannot continue to rely on finite stocks of marine pelagic fish as a supply of FM and FO [21]. The paradox of FM and FO use in aquaculture has sparked major research into alternative feed ingredients to replace FM and FO over the past two decades. The focus of this research has mainly been on terrestrial plant meals, concentrates and oils; and many of these studies have led to an impressive reduction in the average inclusion of FM and FO in commercial feeds [22]. The FAO predicts reductions in FM over the next decade for different fish diets: from 26% to 12 % for marine fish, from 22% to 12% for salmon and from 3% to 1% for tilapia [3]. The FAO also predicts that the sustainability of the aquaculture industry will likely depend on using terrestrial plant oils for aquaculture feeds rather than solely depending on FO as a lipid source [19]. Other FM and FO alternatives include meat and bone meal, livestock tallow or fat, blood meal and poultry by-product meals; as well as fishery by-products and zooplankton meals and oils [23]. Considering the use of alternative protein and lipid sources in commercial feeds [24], recent calculations using the Marine Nutrient Dependency Ratio (MNDR) estimate marine nutrient input to marine nutrient output ratios that are less than one; indicating that farmed salmon can be net producers of FM and FO [24]; and actually increases the supply of fish for human consumption by 7-8 million tonnes per year [25]. In fact, globally fed aquaculture is producing more than three times as much fish as it uses in the feed; therefore reducing the amount of FM and FO in the feeds actually increases the effective global supply of fish

market size [2]. The improved FCR over time has been due to increased digestible nutrient and energy content of the feeds, as well as feed extrusion which has resulted in the production of feeds with higher lipid levels, improved starch gelatinization (increases digestible energy content and utilization), and improved pellet characteristics (durability, buoyancy, etc.) [2,3]. Further research to improve feed ingredients and feed efficiency in the future will help improve sustainability in aquaculture. For example, genetically modified (GM) salmon have better FCR than non-GM salmon (see Biotechnology section).

[ding and the environmenteeF](#)

Feed is the main source of waste and is responsible for most of the environmental impact of aquaculture [4] feed composition and the FCR affect the amount of waste produced, as well as its physical and chemical composition. Excess feed results in immediate eutrophication of the surrounding environment; while consumed feed is yields products of metabolic processes, such as ammonia, phosphorus and carbon dioxide [5]. The quantity and quality of the waste excreted by fish depend on intake, digestion and metabolism of dietary compounds [6]. Excess feed waste has a much greater capacity than fecal material to impact the environment, in terms of energy content and degradation rate. The particulate organic matter sinks and disperses, which results in environmental toxicity and anoxia [7]. The degree of impact from effluent wastes depends on feed quality, digestion and metabolism of the diet, species, culture method and the nature of the surrounding environment in terms of physics, chemistry and biology [8]. This has a direct impact on marine benthic habitats, with effects such as reducing sediments, hypoxia in the water overlying the sediment, increased sulphate reduction and changes in benthic fauna assemblages in terms of species number, diversity, abundance and biomass [7].

Feeding methods and technologies have advanced in recent years to minimize and eliminate waste, but the issue remains. Improved digestibility, feed utilization and feeding practices should be implemented by the feed and production industry to reduce losses from waste. One example is the use of devices to monitor feeding activity from below the surface in order to feed to satiation without overfeeding and consequent feed wastage, a technology that has been used in recent years by several commercial farms [2]. Nutritional strategies to reduce waste include improvements in feed formulations without affecting growth and production efficiency, inclusion of feed ingredients with high phosphorus bioavailability, use of feed additives to improve the apparent digestibility of phosphorus, and processing-refining of ingredients [9]. These efforts have resulted in a significant reduction of waste outputs (per unit of fish produced) by fish culture operations in Canada over the past four decades [6]. However, feeds that are fully digested by the fish cannot totally resolve the impact of fecal waste because the scope of digestion in fish is limited and there will always be a fraction of undigested feed [10]. Removing the solid waste before it is discharged can be a solution for reducing the environmental impact of wastewater [9]. Ecocertification may be a tool to set standards with criteria aimed at reducing eutrophication through the level of inclusion; e.g., specific allowed amounts of nitrogen and phosphorus release from the system and a set limit for solids in the effluent water [11]. Integrated multitrophic aquaculture (IMTA) of fish in combination with invertebrates and plants can help reduce environmental impacts and maximize the usage of food input. IMTA shows the most promise in terms of new and innovative systems for waste mitigation and production efficiency. The IMTA system reduces organic waste by mimicking trophic relationships found in nature; the waste from one organism is food for another, resulting in decreased organic particle concentrations with increased distance from farm sites as they are consumed by other farmed organisms [12]. The carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus compositions of feed,

acid classes, particularly saturated fatty acids and monounsaturated fatty acids, which also appear to enhance the retention of ω 3 LC PUFA in the fillets of some fish species. These observations have been given the more specific definition of the 'omega-3 LC PUFA sparing effect' as found in Atlantic salmon [36]. Consequently, it could be argued that plant oils high in LNA do not have any nutritional advantage over other commercially available oils with lower levels of ω 3 PUFA, provided that DHA and EPA are spared through catabolism of other abundant fatty acids. These results also indicate that feeding a diet based on FO only is an inefficient practice anyway, because substantial amounts of the nutritionally valuable ω 3 LC PUFA are oxidized for energy, particularly EPA [38]. Therefore, the use of plant oils in fish diets conserves LC ω 3 PUFA in FO for critical physiological functions only. This has been the focus of studies in this area recently, specifically on the dynamics of dietary DHA/EPA/ARA and its effect on fish performance, tissue concentration and immunity (Table 1).

The ability of fish to synthesize LC PUFA may allow for plant oils to fully replace FO in aquaculture feeds without lowering levels of key fatty acids in the flesh such as DHA and EPA that are significant for fish and human health. The saturated fatty acids 16:0 and 18:0 can be biosynthesized by all known organisms, including fish. Desaturases and elongases are the critical enzymes in the pathways for the biosynthesis of the LC PUFA from the shorter-chain fatty acids to longer, more unsaturated chains. Fish can desaturate 16:0 and 18:0 to yield 16:1 and 18:1 by Δ 9 desaturase. However, all vertebrates lack Δ 12 and Δ 15 desaturases, which are necessary to form LNA and

LA, so these

Reference	SGR1	DHA/EPA	EPA/ARA	ω 3/ ω 6	Diet total lipid (%)	Study duration (d)	Lipid source
Codabaccus et al. [38]	1	2.8	5	1.3	23.5	75	Tuna oil/rapeseed oil
Codabaccus et al. [38]	1	0.5	16	2	23.5	75	Fish oil/chicken fat
Francis et al. [35]	2	1.8	4.9	3.6	20	112	Linseed oil
Francis et al. [35]	2	2.1	5.2	0.8	20	112	Olive oil
Francis et al. [35]	2	2	5	0.8	20	112	Palm oil
Francis et al. [35]	2	2.2	5	0.2	20	112	Sunflower oil
-Martinez Rubio et al. [49]	-	0.7	18	2.5	18	112	Fish oil/vegetable oil+EPA
-Martinez Rubio et al. [49]	-	0.9	18	3.6	18	112	Fish oil+EPA
-Martinez Rubio et al. [50]	1.0	1.2	22	1.4	34	84	Fish oil/rapeseed oil: high fat
-Martinez Rubio et al. [50]	0.9	1.3	26	1.5	20	84	Fish oil/rapeseed oil: low fat
Hixson et al. [32]	0.9	1	15	1.2	19	112	Camelina oil
Glencross et al. [70]	1.2	19	4	1.3	20	62	Olive oil+DHA
Glencross et al. [70]	1.2	6.8	0.1	0.5	18	62	Olive+DHA+ARA
Thomasson et al. [57]	0.9	1.7	16	0.8	27	109	Rapeseed oil
Thomasson	0.9	0.2	158	1.9	28	109	Rapeseed

for human consumption. However, it is a challenge to find sustainable feed ingredients that meet the nutritional requirements of many farmed fish species. It is likely that some production of FM and FO will remain necessary due to nutritional and industry growth constraints.

Terrestrial plant meals and oils will probably continue to be the main choice when replacing FM and FO in aquaculture diets; however, they are fairly limited in their ability to fully replace FM and FO in diets for fish in terms of meeting nutritional requirements. As a protein source, plant meals are often deficient in certain essential amino acids, and contain high amounts of fibre, carbohydrate, and certain anti-nutritional factors, which cause adverse effects on feed intake, digestion, absorption, leading to reduced growth [26]. A meta-analysis by Collins et al. [27] found differences in the effect of plant ingredients on the growth performance of salmonid fish and that increasing the inclusion level of pea meal, pea protein concentrate, soy protein concentrate, canola/rapeseed meal or canola/rapeseed concentrate decreased the specific growth rate. The reduced growth performance can likely be explained by reduced protein, amino acid, lipid and energy digestibility, if not compensated by increased feed intake [27]. Some improvements in the nutritional quality can be achieved by chemical and mechanical processing, which can eliminate or reduce the concentration of certain anti-nutrients, as well as concentrate protein content [22]. In fact, in 25 years of research, fish growth performance in response to plant protein ingredients has improved due to changes in the chemical and physical properties of the ingredients due to advances in plant breeding, such as reducing the glucosinolate content in crops like canola [27]. Several studies have shown that replacing FO with various terrestrial plant oils in diets for different fish species does not compromise health, growth or feed consumption and are that they readily catabolised by fish as an energy source for growth, as reviewed by Turchini et al. [21]. However the fatty acid profile of terrestrial plant oils is not equivalent to that of FO, so research in this area has been extensive in recent years.

Terrestrial plant oils in aquaculture feeds

Terrestrial plant oils will likely be the main choice when replacing FO in aquaculture diets; however they are fairly limited in their ability to fully replace FO in diets for fish. Most plant oils are relatively poor sources of ω 3 PUFA in comparison to marine FO, and completely lack LC ω 3 PUFA. Rather, they are rich sources of ω 6 and ω 9 fatty acids, mainly LA and 18:1, with the exception of some oilseeds. Although considered an excellent energy source, feeding terrestrial plant oils inevitably results in lower levels of DHA and EPA in tissues of fish fed plant oils [21,28-32], which is detrimental to fish health and compromises the health benefits for humans that consume these fish. Extensive replacement of FO with terrestrial plant oils, particularly those high in LA, cause a high incidence of cardiovascular disorders in fish [33] and also has been suggested to be detrimental to human health after consumption of fish fed soybean oil [34], which is discussed in fish nutrition and human health section in this review. As a result, the immediate thought is that plant oils best suited as a substitute for FO should contain high levels of ω 3 PUFA (LNA) and lower amounts of LA, in order to increase the ω 3/ ω 6 ratio. However, a study by Francis et al. [35] contradicts this idea because it was found that ω 6 PUFA (sunflower oil diet) appeared to 'spare' the catabolism of ω 3 LC PUFA and, as such, resulted in the highest retention of these fatty acids by rainbow trout. These results suggest new nutritional approaches to maximise the maintenance of the qualitative benefits of fish oils when they are used in feeds for aquaculture species [35]. Other recent studies have described that diets that contain high levels of LNA are relatively wasteful, because the fish did not extensively utilize the LNA toward ω 3 PUFA biosynthesis, but rather catabolized the ω 3 PUFA for energy. A similar observation is true for some fatty

n et al. [57]

oil+EPA

computes the percentage of synthesized $\omega 3$ LC PUFA from dietary intake of LNA. An application of this method revealed that Atlantic cod synthesized 6% of their own LC $\omega 3$ PUFA [31], and 12% for rainbow trout [41] after fed a plant oil-based diet. Another interesting method to quantify LC PUFA biosynthesis is the use of compound specific stable isotope analysis. Fatty acid isotopic signatures are frequently used in food web studies to determine the transfer of fatty acids from prey to predator based on their $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ ratio [42]. Fatty acids from terrestrial plant oils have distinctly different isotopic signatures than the same fatty acids in marine sources like fish oil due to differences in the source of carbon (terrestrial carbon in the form of CO_2 gas vs. marine carbon as carbonate) [43]. Using CSIA and a mixing model calculation [42], the proportion of synthesized LC PUFA (i.e., DHA) from LNA can be determined. Using this method it has been found that rainbow trout can synthesize up to 27% of the DHA in the muscle tissue from dietary camelina oil [31]. Quantifying fatty acid biosynthesis in fish using quick and efficient methods will become even more important in subsequent years; particularly if breeding programs are designed to select fish that have superior ELOVL and FAD expression and express these traits phenotypically.

Future research in this area will be dedicated to exploring new plant resources that have high levels of $\omega 3$ fatty acids, particularly plant -sources that contain substantial levels of EPA and DHA. Single celled microalgae and yeast can produce their own EPA and DHA and are renewable resources [44,45]; however, high production costs make commercialization very limiting. Research in this area is needed to optimize time and cost of production. However, the most promising and upcoming FO replacements are genetically modified LC $\omega 3$ PUFA enriched crop production [46,47] and is further discussed in the Biotechnology section of this review. The use of plant ingredients in aquaculture inevitable; however it also raises questions regarding sustainability of crop production for aquaculture feeds. Measurements to quantify the amounts of land, water, nutrients and energy required for crops per unit of fish production should be calculated to assess environmental impact and sustainable development, and also could be compared to other types of animal production. Nevertheless, the conservation benefit of substituting plant meal and oil for FM and FO is obvious.

ish nutrition and fish healthF

It could be argued that the activity of intensive fish farming is, by definition, a stressful procedure, but such a consideration has to be balanced against the need to produce food for human consumption. Therefore, fish health is of utmost importance, in terms of an ethical and production point of view. Proper nutrition plays a critical role in maintaining normal growth and health of aquatic organisms. Good nutrition can help mitigate the effects of stress, decrease the susceptibility to disease, and boost the immune system; therefore it is important to consider their diet in terms of stress and disease prevention, while the diet may also serve as a primary method of administering medications, immuno-stimulants and functional ingredients for fish.

It is well established that all essential nutrients are required in sufficient quantity to sustain normal health. A deficiency in any required nutrient can adversely affect health by impairing metabolic functions and increasing susceptibility to disease. Lipids, for example, are directly involved in aspects of the immune response. LC PUFAs are precursors and modulators for eicosanoid production, including prostaglandins and thromboxane via a synthesis pathway involving cyclooxygenase and lipoxygenase enzyme activity. Arachadonic acid (ARA; $20:4\omega 6$) and EPA compete for the same lipoxygenase or cyclooxygenase, therefore the dietary $\omega 3/\omega 6$ ratio determines the ratio of high activity (inflammation) to low activity eicosanoids (anti-inflammatory). Given the recent focus on FO

Thomasse n et al. 57]	0.9	0.6	114	1.9	28	109	Rapeseed oil+EPA+ DHA
Hatlen et al. [81]	1	1.3	18	0.65	26	95	Rapeseed oil
Hatlen et al. [81]	0.9	0.3	33	0.8	23	95	Rapeseed oil+GM yeast (high EPA source)
Berge et al. [45]	0.66	20.1	91	0.4	31	112	Soybean oil+GM yeast (high EPA source)

1Specific growth rate, % day⁻¹

Table 1: Replacement of fish oil with terrestrial plant oils in , with particular focus on *Salmo salar* diets for Atlantic salmon (dietary DHA/EPA/ARA dynamics and the effect on tissue fatty acid composition and/or immune function.

fatty acids are considered essential. Subsequently, LNA and LA can be desaturated and elongated to form the physiologically essential EPA, DHA and ARA. However, the degree to which an animal can synthesize these fatty acids from LNA and LA depends on the activities of the elongase and desaturase enzymes ($\Delta 6$ and $\Delta 5$) in their tissues. Fish species differ in the extent to which they can tolerate diets without FO, and this trait appears to be evolutionarily related to the fatty acid profile of the natural diet. Consequently, carnivorous marine fish have lost much of the capacity to synthesize these fatty acids during evolution since they remained in an environment where such a conversion is not necessary. Freshwater fish have a greater ability to biosynthesize EPA and DHA from LNA, since the natural prey of many freshwater fish is not rich in EPA and DHA, but rather LNA and LA. The fatty acid desaturation and elongation pathway has been extensively studied in fish at both the molecular and enzymatic level, with fatty acyl elongase (ELOVL) and fatty acyl desaturase (FAD) identified and functionally characterized in several marine and freshwater species. The dietary fatty acid profile is influential to the expression of ELOVL and FAD genes. The tissue fatty acid profile has been found to be significantly correlated with FAD and ELOVL gene expression in Atlantic cod fed diets without FO; while FAD and ELOVL expression were significantly correlated with each other [38]. This is evidence that the regulation of these genes is signalled by a change in the fatty acid profile of the tissue. However, up-regulation of these genes is not necessarily reflected phenotypically because levels of DHA and EPA in fish fed plant oil diets are significantly lower than DHA and EPA levels in fish fed a FO diet. However, these PUFA could be present in even lower amounts if ELOVL and FAD were not facilitating fatty acid biosynthesis. Often this effort cannot fully compensate for low levels of $\omega 3$ LC PUFA intake from plant oil diets; although results show that it is this low dietary level that may trigger the up-regulation of genes involved in their synthesis.

Using molecular tools to identify ELOVL and FAD gene expression has often been the centre of research studies on FO replacements. However, there are other tools that can be used to quantify LC PUFA biosynthesis and can verify phenotypically the results found at the gene expression level. Mathematically, the level of LC PUFA synthesis can be quantified using the fatty acid mass balance equation. The fatty acid mass balance method was developed for fish by Turchini et al. [40] to quantify $\omega 3$ fatty acid synthesis in fish to determine the level of elongation and desaturation that occurred over the course of a feeding experiment. The method involves computation of the fatty acid intake, accumulation, and appearance or disappearance of the selected fatty acids in the $\omega 3$ pathway and

non-toxic, which beneficially affect intestinal microbial products, reduce gut pH and release chemicals with bactericidal effects on other microbial populations, thus improving the immune response. The improvement in innate immunity in the fish helps against pathogenic bacteria, as well as against environmental stressors [54]. One of the major bottlenecks in the industry is high mortality during larval first feeding. Probiotics may be administered to live feed which act as live carriers of the bacteria and subsequently fed to fish larvae. This method has proven to be successful in European sea bass) fed artemia cultured with bacterial *Dicentrarchus labrax* larvae (enrichment, which affected the larval immune response and reduced mortality rates [55] Evidence of the beneficial effects of probiotics gave rise to the concept of prebiotics, which are indigestible oligosaccharides and dietary fibre that selectively stimulate growth and/or activate the metabolism of health-promoting bacteria in the gut, and depress the proliferation of harmful microbes, thus improving intestinal balance in the fish [51]. Beta-glucan for example, can act as both an immunostimulant and a prebiotic. It is a polysaccharide with glucose as its only structural component and forms the major constituents of the cell wall of some plants, fungi, bacteria, mushrooms, yeast, and seaweeds. Beta glucan appears to be the most promising feed additive to enhance growth, survival and immunity for a several different of farmed fish species, supplemented at levels between 0.1 to 1.0% (Table 2). Functional feed additives, such as beta glucan, can improve immunity, feed efficiency and growth performance of farmed

Reference	Result	Inclusion	Species	Compound
Ahmadi et al. [80]	Up-regulated immune related genes (lysozyme, TNF α), lower heat shock protein (Hsp70) gene expression, stimulated humeral immune response, elevated disease resistance	0.2%	Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)	Immunogen®
Morales-Lange et al. [89]	Increase in phagocytic activity in head kidney macrophages and a significant increase in the production of TNF α and IL-8 in gill tissue	0.2%	Rainbow trout	Brown algae beta glucan Laminaria digitata
Rozita et al [91]	Increased complement and immunoglobulin	0.2-0.4%		Yeast beta glucan Saccharomyces cerevisiae
Poley et al [90]	Successfully induced inflammatory gene expression (interleukin-1 β) in the head kidneys of fish infected with <i>Lepeophtheirus salmonis</i> ; lice burdens were lower on fish fed Aquate® (19%)	0.2%		Yeast beta glucan (Aquate®)
Lokesh et al. [88]	Up-regulation of IL-1 β after challenged with <i>Vibrio anguillarum</i>	0.1%		Yeast-derived beta glucan
-Guzman Villanueva et al. [89]	Significantly increased growth, antioxidant activity, and	0.1%		Beta glucan

replacements, studies have compared the immune and inflammatory response of fish fed different plant oil diets after infection by a virus) fed a diet *Lates calcarifer* pathogen. For example, barramundi (with either FO or echium oil had a longer lasting and enduring response in eicosanoid concentrations following a week after bacterial infection, compared with those fed on rapeseed oil. Echium oil has a comparatively higher $\omega 3/\omega 6$ ratio than rapeseed oil, and proved more effective in compensating for stress [48]. Another study tested functional feeds for Atlantic salmon infected with heart and skeletal muscle inflammation, an emerging viral disease caused by a novel salmon reovirus [49]. The functional feeds were formulated with reduced digestible energy levels achieved through lower lipid content, and specifically increased the EPA level and increased $\omega 3/\omega 6$ PUFA and EPA/ARA levels. The LC PUFA biosynthesis activity in salmon fed the standard diet was low compared to those fed the functional feed, limiting the availability of anti-inflammatory LC $\omega 3$ PUFA, which highlighted the crucial role of the diet in maintaining the availability of $\omega 3$ PUFA in membrane phospholipids. Both EPA and ARA reduced considerably after 16 weeks with infection; these losses were mitigated by the functional feed in comparison to those fed the standard feed, which likely contributed to the better outcome of fish fed the functional feed. In addition, reduced dietary lipid content altered the expression of key genes ($\Delta 5$ and $\Delta 6$ FAD) in fish fed the functional feeds, potentially increasing their capacity for endogenous production and availability of anti-inflammatory EPA [49,50]. These results highlight the benefits of using diets with reduced dietary lipid and increased EPA levels on disease outcome. Factors that modulate and dampen the inflammatory process might be the key to mitigating clinical symptoms and improving performance, notably the $\omega 3/\omega 6$ PUFA and EPA/ARA levels. Clinical nutrition through functional feeding has been shown to be an effective complementary therapy for emerging salmon viral diseases associated with long-term inflammation [49]. Therefore, future dietary formulations containing plant oil should consider the $\omega 3/\omega 6$ ratio as it strongly affects eicosanoid production and can help deal with stress and disease; which has the focus of several recent studies related with fish oil replacements (Table 1).

Achieving good health through nutrition is relatively simple by providing essential nutrients in the right amount to cater to the specific requirements of different species and life stages. However, disease caused by infections and microorganisms is known to be one of the major constraints in the aquaculture industry, and fish may be susceptible to such diseases, regardless of nutritional status. Feeds containing antibiotics are commonly used to treat diseases. However, sick fish generally do not feed vigorously and therefore may not consume enough medicated feed to ensure proper dosage. The use of antibiotics in aquaculture is also problematic because of the potential to develop antibiotic resistant bacteria, the presence of antibiotic residues in seafood, destruction of microbial populations in the environment and suppression of the fish immune system [51,52]. Therefore, research has focused on functional constituents in feeds to improve growth, feed efficiency, health status, stress tolerance and resistance to disease to reduce antibiotic utilization in farms.

The concept of functional feeds is an emerging paradigm in the aquaculture industry, which are diets supplemented with feed additives to improve health and disease resistance with minimal negative effects on the fish, consumers and the environment [53]. Dietary supplementation of different non-digestible feed additives, such as immunostimulants, probiotics and prebiotics have been found to be beneficial for improving immune status, feed efficiency and growth performance in various different fish species. Feeding animals with immunostimulants prior to an infection or in situations known to result in stress will elevate defences and thus provide protection against otherwise potentially severe or lethal conditions [52]. Probiotics are live microbial organisms, non-pathogenic and

Table 2: Various forms of beta-glucan in functional feeds found to improve fish health and immunity for different farmed fish species.

fish [2] with minimal impact on the environment and the consumer; therefore commercial feeds may standardize its use in feeds.

chnology to improve fish nutritioneotiB

Bridging the gap between problems and solutions in aquaculture will require insight and creative applications. Biotechnology research and applications can help the aquaculture industry develop sustainably, and efficiently produce good quality food for a growing human population. There are many new applications of biotechnology in aquaculture; however this review will focus on how biotechnology can improve fish nutrition for the purpose of safe, nutritious and sustainable food production. In particular, genetic modification will have the most significant impact on fish nutrition in aquaculture. Producing environmentally sustainable and nutritionally sound feeds for farmed fish has proved to be a challenge. The application of biotechnology to produce feed ingredients that are specifically enhanced for aquaculture feeds can help alleviate pressure on wild fisheries that produce FM and FO. Research on GM crops that are enhanced specifically for fish feeds is in the forefront of both plant and aquaculture research. The limiting factor in using plant oils is the lack of LC ω 3 PUFA, namely DHA and EPA. GM plants that can produce their own DHA and EPA, and that can be grown sustainably, is certainly well sought after by the aquaculture industry. Development of such a crop is in the research and development phase, but is not yet available commercially. A study by Ruiz-Lopez et al. [56] demonstrated fish oil-like levels of DHA and EPA produced by the transgenic oilseed camelina, while avoiding accumulation of undesirable fatty acids. Atlantic salmon fed GM rapeseed oil and yeast containing high levels of DHA and EPA showed high retention of LC ω 3 PUFA in the flesh [45,57]. The benefits of producing LC ω 3 PUFA in plants are clear: a sustainable and non-contaminated source of important fatty acids essential to human nutrition. GM crops may also have other enhanced features that can directly benefit aquaculture production, such as improving feed efficiency, performance, product quality and health; and can indirectly benefit production, such as pest and disease resistance, and increased yield [58].

A controversial and interesting topic of discussion is GM salmon. Aqua Bounty Technologies was the first company to submit an application to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for a GM animal for food consumption in 1995 and has been in the regulation system to date. In order to acquire FDA approval, Aqua Bounty has had to demonstrate the food's safety, and gauge the environmental risk of the sterile fish escaping its tanks and successfully breeding with wild salmon. By contrast, the FDA approved the first GM crop for human consumption (the Flav'r Sav'r tomato) after just three years of regulatory consideration [59]. Research has shown that growth *Oncorhynchus* enhanced transgenic Atlantic and coho salmon (), have the capacity for increased daily feed intake, increased *kisutch* growth rates and improved feed utilization due to an enhanced capacity for protein biosynthesis over non-transgenic fish [60,61,62]. Transgenic coho salmon demonstrate an enhanced ability to utilize -dietary carbohydrates (in addition to lipid) as a major source of non protein energy, which is not typical for non-transgenic salmon [63]. The utilization of carbohydrate allows for greater amount of dietary protein to be spared for rapid tissue growth [62]. The altered gene expression and different metabolic enzyme capacity in transgenic salmon allows for a shift towards the use of fatty acids and glucose for energy-production purposes, conserving amino acids for protein biosynthesis [64]. In a study by Tibbetts et al. [62], transgenic Atlantic salmon consumed a significantly higher amount of feed on a daily basis, but also had better FCRs, higher nitrogen retention

Dobsikova et al. [84]	Significant shifts in digestive enzyme activity after exposure to white blood cell, lymphocyte, monocyte, neutrophil granulocytes-segments, as well as plasma concentrations of glucose, albumins, cholesterol, antrium and chlorides	0.5-2.0%		Oyster mushroom beta glucan <i>Pleurotus ostreatus</i>
Syakuri et al. [93]	Differentiated the regulation of mRNA expression of claudin genes and prevented an intestinal inflammatory response post <i>Aeromonas hydrophil</i> intubation	1%		Macro Gard®
Kuhlwein et al. [87]	Fish fed diets containing 1% and 2% MacroGard® showed significant improvements in weight gain, specific growth rate and feed conversion ratio compared to fish fed both the control and the 0.1% MacroGard® containing diet	1-2%		Macro Gard®
Chang et al. [82]	Enhanced the lysozyme activity, alternative complement activity, phagocytic activity and respiration burst; significantly enhanced the protection of grouper against <i>Vibrio alginolyticus</i> up to 16% compared to control group	0.2%		Mushroom beta glucan <i>Ganoderma lucidum</i> and <i>Coriolus versicolor</i>
Talpur et al. [94]	Significantly reduced mortalities after challenging with <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> with significant improvement in red blood corpuscles, white blood corpuscles, pack cell volume, haemoglobin concentration, erythrocyte sedimentation rate and serum protein content in treated groups over the control	0.1%		Macrogard®

$\omega 3$ fatty acids to balance this ratio. However, in addition to the high level of $\omega 6$ fatty acids, terrestrial plant oils do not contain EPA and DHA, which causes a lower concentration of these PUFA in farmed seafood products compared to farmed fish that were fed a FO- based diet. Most consumers are not fully aware of the lower DHA and EPA content now occurring in many farmed fish species as a result of using alternative feeds in recent years. A study by Nichols et al. [70] investigated the LC $\omega 3$ PUFA content in farmed Atlantic salmon and barramundi samples from 2002 compared to samples from 2010-2013. In comparison to the 2002 samples, both species contained decreased levels and contents of LC $\omega 3$ PUFA. Atlantic salmon in 2002 contained 1014 mg/100 g, decreasing to 975 mg/100g in 2013. Barramundi in 2002 contained 1970 mg/100 g, decreasing to 790 mg/100 g in 2013 [70]. These changes have resulted from the use of new, sustainable and lower cost ingredients in the feed. Despite significantly lower LC $\omega 3$ PUFA amounts in farmed salmon compared to a decade ago, a human study found that addition of farmed Atlantic salmon to the diet twice per week for 4 weeks at portions of 180 g and 270 g modifies phospholipid fatty acid proportions of $\omega 3$ and $\omega 6$ in a level associated with decreased risk for cardiovascular disorders [71]. Regardless, there are strategies to increase the $\omega 3$ PUFA content in the lipid profile of farmed fish. In the present time, even using plant oils that are high in LNA but do not supply DHA and EPA is still beneficial for humans simply to achieve a higher $\omega 3/\omega 6$ ratio in the diet. Finishing oil diets with higher inclusion of marine oils at the end of the production cycle can elevate DHA and EPA levels immediately before harvest. This may result in grades of farmed seafood products that contain a higher content of LC $\omega 3$ PUFA than standard (and lower cost) products grown using a mainly non- marine oil based diet [70]. In the future it is likely that GM plants with endogenous DHA and EPA will be used in fish feeds. The effect of GM foods on human health is not well known, however, many different types of produce and processed foods available today are non-labelled GM, so many North American consumers have purchased GM foods on a regular basis for at least a decade. However, there have been no studies to date on the long term effect of GM ingredients fed to farmed fish on human health. This will be a critical area of research in the future.

Dietary changes may also affect the product quality of the fish, which may change public perception of farmed fish. Sensory evaluations, including taste, colour and texture are frequently part of studies that evaluate changes after feed ingredients have been altered in the diet, particularly replacements of FM and FO. Although many studies have reported that alternative protein and lipid sources can affect the sensory quality of farmed fish, results in the literature are divided and contradictory [21], therefore it is difficult to pinpoint if certain ingredients clearly affect sensory quality because it can depend on the study. Interestingly, some studies showed that salmon fed plant oils had less rancid and marine characteristics and were preferred over salmon fed FO [72]. Consumer perception and satisfaction are an important aspect of fish nutrition; therefore the use of GM ingredients or the production of GM salmon needs to be evaluated for sensory quality due to possible biochemical changes that affect sensory characteristics. Contamination of fish tissues with organic and inorganic contaminants has been a pervasive environmental and public health issue. From a human health perspective, concerns regarding relatively high levels of Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) (e.g. Polychlorinated Biphenyls, PCBs) and inorganic contaminants (e.g. heavy metals) in farmed salmon have raised questions regarding the relative health risks and benefits of farmed salmon consumption [73]. However, the accumulation of some contaminants is the result of indirect exposure, and can equally affect wild salmon [74]. This review will only focus on POPs accumulated in the flesh as a direct result of the feed. Fish that are fed FM and FO accumulate POPs that are derived from marine feed components, and several studies have reported elevated levels of

efficiency and achieved target weight gain in a shorter period (40%) than non transgenic fish. The transgenic salmon in this study demonstrated a higher cellular capacity to direct dietary non-protein energy towards satisfying their daily metabolic energy requirements, allowing for a higher proportion of dietary amino acids to be directed towards protein biosynthesis rather than catabolised as a dietary energy source. Dietary protein represents the highest proportion of salmon feeds and also the major source of nitrogenous pollution from salmon farming; therefore transgenic salmon could improve production efficiency through better feed utilization, which could result in more sustainable salmon production. Furthermore, transgenic salmon were found to have a higher expression of $\Delta 5$ and $\Delta 6$ FAD, which might help compensate for a potentially higher demand for EPA and DHA resulting from rapid growth [64]. This also has positive implications for the use of alternative FO feeds for transgenic fish in terms of their ability to synthesize EPA and DHA at an increased rate. However, there are environmental concerns related to GM salmon, particularly the potential impacts of escaped GM salmon on wild salmon; although GM salmon produced by Aqua Bounty are sterile, thus eliminating the potential of interbreeding between escaped GM salmon and wild salmon. There are also health and safety concerns that pertain to the immediate and long-term effects on human health after consumption of GM salmon products. Initial tests on the safety of consuming transgenic carp and tilapia show no apparent negative health impacts on mice and humans [65]. However, allergens or toxins may be produced as a result of gene transfer if the transgene codes for a protein that induces expression of a previously inactive toxin (e.g., shellfish protein used to create a transgenic fish), and the expression of bioactive proteins which may continue to possess bioactive properties following consumption (e.g., growth hormone) [65]. Research on the effect of GM fish on human health should be conducted, as well as the effect on product quality.

ish nutrition and human health

The world will be very different in 2050: the global population will likely increase to nine billion, and about 50% more food will be needed to sustain the quality of human life [66]. Rapid population growth and increases in fish consumption has led to rapid increases in global fish demand. The expansion of aquaculture will fill this gap and relieve pressure on capture fisheries, which have been steadily declining [67]. The benefits of consuming a diet rich in seafood are based on high levels of LC $\omega 3$ PUFA and high quality animal protein, essential amino acids, vitamins, and minerals [67]. There are numerous benefits of aquaculture being the main supply of seafood for the world, from a nutritional, economic and social standpoint; however the industry has received much public scrutiny regarding toxins, contaminants, hormones, and antibiotics in farmed fish, which raises questions about public health and safety [68]. The composition of the feed affects the final composition of the product; therefore fish nutrition directly impacts consumers and must be considered in terms of safety and human nutrition.

It is obvious that the use of FM and FO must be significantly reduced in aquaculture feeds in order to be environmentally sustainable. However, using sustainable alternative feed ingredients to feed fish could potentially affect human health. For example, terrestrial plant oils are typically high in $\omega 6$ fatty acids, specifically LNA, which get stored in significant amounts in flesh of the fish that are consuming these feeds. From a human health standpoint, increases in $\omega 6$ fatty acids in salmon fillets are a concern. When mice were fed farmed salmon raised on diets with 100% soybean oil, the excessive dietary LA elevated endocannabinoids in the liver, increased weight gain and counteracted the anti-inflammatory properties of EPA and DHA [69] and also exaggerated insulin resistance and increased accumulation of fat in the liver in rats [34]. The typical "Western" diet is already dangerously high in $\omega 6$ fatty acids, which is why it is so important to consume fish that are high in

Research and Development Corporation of Newfoundland (RDC) and Memorial University of Newfoundland. The author would like to thank Dr. Christopher C. Parrish for review of this paper.

ref_str

- [Nutritional requirements](#)[National Research Council \(NRC\) \(2011\). 1](#)
[shrimp. National Academies Press, Washington. of fish and](#)
[Ganguly S, Krushna C, Sarkar S, Chowdhury S \(2013\). 2](#)
Rev Fish Biol [prebiotics in fish feed: a review. Supplementation of](#)
[Fisheries 23: 195-199.](#)
- [Demand and supply of feed](#)[Tacon A, Hasan M, Metian M \(2011\). 3](#)
[for farmed fish and crustaceans- trends and prospects. ingredients](#)
[vol. 564. paper, FAO fisheries technical](#)
[Towards d'Orbecastel E, Blancheton J, Aubin J \(2009\). 4](#)
[sustainable aquaculture : Comparison between two environmentally](#)
[using life cycle assessment. Aquacult Eng 40: trout farming systems](#)
[113-119.](#)
- [Schneider O, Amirkolaie A, Vera Cartas J, Eding E, Schrama J, et al. 5](#)
[Digestibility, faeces recovery, and related C, N, P balances of \(2004\)](#)
[ingredients evaluated as fishmeal alternatives in Oreochromis fed](#)
[Aquaculture Research 35: 1370-1379. niloticus L.](#)
[Towards effective nutritional management](#)[Bureau D, Hua K \(2010\). 6](#)
[outputs in aquaculture, with particular reference to salmonid of waste](#)
[Rev 41: 777-792. operations. Aquacult aquaculture](#)
[-Piedecausa M, Aguado-Gimenez F, Valverde J, Llorente M, Garcia. 7](#)
[Influence of fish food and faecal pellets on short-term \(2012\) Garcia B](#)
[ammonium flux and acid volatile sulphide. oxygen uptake.](#)
[-by fish farming and non accumulation in sediments impacted](#)
[Res 43: 66-74. impacted sediments. Aquacult](#)
[Indicators](#)[Boyd C, Tucker C, McNevin A, Bostick K, Clay J \(2007\). 8](#)
[use efficiency and environmental performance in fish and of resource](#)
[aquaculture. Rev Fish Sci 15: 327-360. crustacean](#)
[Reduction in the environmental impact of waste](#)[Amirkolaie A \(2011\). 9](#)
[3:19-26. by fish farms through feed and feeding. Rev Aqua discharged](#)
- [-Development of high](#)[Cho C, Hynes J, Wood K, Yoshida H \(1994\). 10](#)
[dense, low-pollution diets and prediction of aquaculture nutrient-](#)
[124: 293-305. approaches. Aquaculture wastes using biological](#)
[-Eco](#)[Jonell M, Phillips M, Ronnback P, Troell M \(2013\). 11](#)
[seafood: will it make a difference? AMBIO certification of farmed.](#)
[42: 659-74.](#)
- [Reid G, Liutkus M, Bennett A, Robinson S, MacDonald B, et al. 12](#)
[Absorption efficiency of blue mussels \(Mytilus edulis and M. \(2010\)](#)
[\) feed and fecal](#)[Salmo salar](#)[Atlantic salmon \(trossulus\) feeding](#)
[integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. particulates: implications for](#)
[299: 165-169. Aquaculture](#)
- [Wang X, Andersen K, Handa A, Jense B, Reitan K, et al. \(2013\). 13](#)
[composition and release rate of waste discharge from an Chemical](#)
[with an evaluation of IMTA feasibility. Aquacult Atlantic salmon farm](#)
[Env Interac 4: 147-162.](#)
- [X, Broch O, Reitan K, et al. \(2012\)](#)[Handa A, Min H, Wang. 14](#)
[\)Mytilus edulis](#)[feed and growth of blue mussels \(Incorporation of fish](#)
[\) aquaculture: Implications](#)[Salmo salar](#)[\(in close proximity to salmon](#)
[in Norwegian coastal waters for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture](#)
[356: 328-341. Aquaculture.](#)
- [Redmond K, Magnesen T, Hansen P, Strand O, Sonnich M \(2010\). 15](#)
[isotopes and fatty acids as tracers of the assimilation of salmon. Stable](#)
[298: 202-210. \). Aquaculture. Mytilus edulis](#)[blue mussels \(fish feed in](#)
[Invertebrate uptake of lipids in the](#)[George E, Parrish C \(2013\). 16](#)
[\) aquaculture sites in British](#)[Salmo salar](#)[salmon \(vicinity of Atlantic](#)
[10.1111/are.12259. Columbia. Aquacult Res DOI:](#)
- [-Open water integrated multi](#)[Cranford P, Reid G, Robinson S \(2013\). 17](#)
[aquaculture: constraints on the effectiveness of mussels as an trophic](#)
[Env Interac 4: 163-173. extractive component. Aquacult organic](#)
[Orr L, Curtis D, Cross S, Gurney-Smith H, Shanks A, et al. 18](#)
[rate, absorption efficiency, oxygen consumption, and Ingestion \(2014\)](#)
[Strongylocentrotus sea urchins \(fecal production in green](#)
[\) Anoplopoma fimbria \(\) fed waste from sablefish](#)[droebachiensis](#)
[422: 184-192. culture. Aquaculture](#)
- [\(Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations\) FAO. 19](#)
[state of the world fisheries and aquaculture 2010. The \(2010\)](#)
[Naylor R, Hardy R, Bureau D, Chiu A, Elliott M, et al. \(2009\). 20](#)

environmental contaminants, particularly PCBs in feeds and farmed Atlantic salmon flesh [75]. One of the benefits of using plant meal and oil to replace FM and FO is the reduced levels of toxins in the feeds, and subsequently in the final product. Studies have shown that using plant oils to replace FO for most of the production cycle show large reductions in flesh POPs in salmon; thereby providing a low contaminant product for human consumption [75,76]. Interestingly, Atlantic salmon fed a diet that replaced 75% FO with flaxseed oil showed 61% lower levels of POPs in the flesh compared with fish fed diets with 100% FO, without compromising growth performance [77]. Farrell et al. [78] concluded that plant oils in salmon feeds considerably lower contaminant levels in farmed salmon without reducing the human health benefits and compromising production efficiency. Furthermore, rats that were fed farmed salmon raised on 100% soybean oil had significantly lower levels of POPs in their tissues compared to rats fed salmon raised on FO [34]. However, considering high levels of LA and significantly reduced levels of DHA and EPA in salmon after fed a plant oil diet, there is certainly a trade-off between using plant oils and marine oils. The best possible outcome to achieve environmental sustainability and reduce dependence on marine resources, while maximizing the amount of DHA and EPA in farmed seafood would be using GM oilseeds with equivalent levels of DHA and EPA to that of FO.

استنتاج

Fish nutrition certainly has an impact on the aquaculture industry. The areas discussed in this review were meant to highlight current issues in aquaculture related specifically to the nutrition of farmed fish and how these issues can impact food safety and quality, as well as environmental sustainability. In a world where natural resources are being consumed at 50 times the replenishment rate, the future belongs to those who can manage elements of population growth, climate change, and food and energy security. Aquaculture has a huge role to play in the future where safe, nutritious, quality food is in demand and environmental sustainability is the key to life [79]. It is difficult to quantify environmental impacts and sustainability in aquaculture since different species and production systems are more sustainable than others because of their feeds and feeding practices. The result is a trade-off of positive and negative outcomes, and both food security and sustainability must be balanced. The future advancements in aquaculture to achieve this balance will inevitably require the use of biotechnology. Scientists should be able to develop and effectively use new technologies, within reason, to benefit of our society. The use of GM plants and animals to increase nutrient utilization and production efficiency, and to reduce environmental impacts, will become necessary in the future. However, food safety is of utmost importance, therefore diligent research and stringent regulations must be required before commercialization of GM products. The balance between nutritious, safe food production and maintaining environmental sustainability will rely on our ability to use new technologies to improve farming practices, and our ability to use as few resources as possible to provide for our society [80-95].

This review was supported by the **Acknowledgements**

- Nutr 102: 69-81. [based diets](#). Br J [Budge S, Wooller M, Springer A, Iverson S, McRoy C, et al.](#) 42 [carbon flow in an arctic marine food web using fatty Tracingm \(2008\)](#) [Oecologia](#) 157: 117-129. [acid stable isotope analysis](#). Carbon isotopes in photosynthesis. Bioscience [O'Leary M \(1988\)](#). 43 38:328-336.
- [SustainableBendiksen E, Johnsen C, Olsen H, Jobling M \(2011\)](#) 44 [Progress towards reduced reliance upon marine ingredients aquafeeds](#): 314:). [AquacultureSalmo salar Atlantic salmon \(in diets for farmed](#) 132-139.
- [Hatlen B, Berge G, Odom J, Mundheim H, Ruyter B \(2012\)](#) 45 [feed utilisation and fatty acid deposition in Growth performance](#). - graded levels of high-lipid/highL. fed [Salmo salar Atlantic salmon](#). 364: 39-47. [biomass](#). [AquacultureYarrowia lipolytica EPA](#) [The production of a very-long-chainNapier J, Sayanova O \(2005\)](#) 46 [biosynthesis in transgenic plants: towards a sustainable source](#). [PUFA](#) Proc Nutr Soc 64: 387-393. [of fish oils](#).
- [Plant and animal breedingFlachowsky G, Meyer U, Gruen M \(2013\)](#) 47 [points for sustainable agriculture](#). In: Lichtfouse E (ed) as starting Netherlands, pp [Reviews](#) 12. Springer. [Sustainable Agriculture](#) 201-224.
- [Alhazzaa R, Bridle A, Mori T, Barden A, Nichols P, et al. \(2013\)](#) 48 [better than rapeseed oil in improving the response of Echiium oil is](#) challenge. Food Chem 141: 1424-1432. [barramundi to a disease](#) [Martinez-Rubio L, Morais S, Evensen O, Wadsworth S, Vecino J, et .49](#) [Effect of functional feeds on fatty acid and eicosanoidal](#). (2013a) [Salmo salar head kidney of Atlantic salmon \(metabolism in liver and](#) [heart and skeletal muscle L.\) with experimentally induced](#) [inflammation](#). Fish Shellfish Immunol 34: 1533-1545.
- [Martinez-Rubio L, Wadsworth S, Vecino J, Bell G, Tocher D .50](#) [dietary digestible energy content on expression of Effect of\(2013b\)](#) [and LC-PUFA biosynthesis in liver of genes of lipid metabolism](#) [Aquaculture](#) 384: 94-103. [L.\)Salmo salar Atlantic salmon \(](#) [Ringo E, Olsen R, Gifstad T, Dalmo R, Amlund H, et al. \(2010\)](#) 51 [aquaculture- a review](#). [Aquacult Nutr](#) 16: 117-136. [Prebiotics in](#) [Nutrition and health of aquaculture fish](#). J Fish [Oliva-Teles A \(2012\)](#) 52 108. Dis 35:83-
- [BetaMeena D, Dad P, Kumar S, Mandal S, Prusty A, et al. \(2013\)](#) 53 [ideal immunostimulants in aquaculture \(a review\)](#). Fish glucan: an 431-457. [Physiol Biochem](#) 39: [Mohapatra S, Chakraborty T, Prusty A, Das P, Paniprasad K, et .54](#) [different microbial probiotics in the diet of rohu](#). Use of [al. \(2012\)](#) [on growth, nutrient digestibility and Labeo rohita fingerlings: effects](#) [intestinal microflora, retention, digestive enzyme activities and](#) [Aquacult Nutr](#) 18:111.
- Early stimulation of the [Franke A, Roth O, Clemmesen C \(2013\)](#) 55 [immune system of an important aquaculture fish species: Probiotic](#) [application in European sea bass juveniles](#) Fish & Shellfish Immunol 34: 1707-1715.
- [SuccessfulRuiz-Lopez N, Haslam R, Napier J, Sayanova O \(2014\)](#) 56 [accumulation of fish oil omega-3 long-chain high-level](#) [Plant J](#). 77: [transgenic oilseed crop](#). The polyunsaturated fatty acids in a 198-208.
- [HighThomassen M, Rein D, Berge G, Østbye T, Ruytera B \(2012\)](#) 57 [does not inhibit Δ5 and Δ6 desaturases in Atlantic dietary EPA](#) 361: 78-85. [rapeseed oil diets](#). [Aquaculture salmon \(Salmo salar L.\) fed](#)
- [Deb R, Sajjanar B, Devi K, Reddy K, Prasad R, et al. \(2013\)](#) 58 [with GM crops: Boon or bane? Indian J Biotech](#) 12: [Feeding animals](#) 311-322.
- [salmon nears approval](#). Nature 497: [TransgenicLedford H. \(2013\)](#) 59 17-18.
- [Growth, viability and geneticDevlin R, Biagi C, Yesaki T \(2004\)](#) 60 [of GH transgenic coho salmon strains](#). [Aquaculture characteristics](#) 236:607-632.
- [Influence of ration levelOakes J, Higgs D, Eales J, Devlin R \(2007\)](#) 61 [growth performance and body composition of non-transgenic on the](#) [\).Oncorhynchus kisutch hormone-transgenic coho salmon \(and growth-](#) 309-324. [Aquaculture](#) 265: [Tibbetts S, Wall C, Barbosa-Solomieu V, Bryenton M, Plouffe D, et .62](#) [Effects of combined 'all-fish' growth hormone transgenics al. \(2013\)](#) [growth and nutrient utilization of Atlantic salmon \(and triploidy on](#) [grower diet of known composition.L.\) fed a practicalSalmo salar](#) 406: 141-152. [Aquaculture](#)
- [Leggatt R, Raven P, Mommsen T, Sakhrani D, Higgs D, et al. 63](#) [hormone transgenesis influences carbohydrate, lipid Growth\(2009\)](#)
- [in an era of finite resources](#). PNAS 106: [Feeding aquaculture](#) 15103-15110.
- [Fish oil replacement inTurchini G, Torstensen B, Ng W \(2009\)](#) 21 1: 10-57. [Rev Aqua finfish nutrition](#). [A limited supply of fishmeal: Impact onOlsen R, Hasan M \(2012\)](#) 22 [increases in global aquaculture production](#). Trends Food Sci future Tech 27: 120-128.
- [Handbook on ingredients forHertrampf I, Piedad-Pascual F \(2000\)](#) 23 [Publishers, Netherlands. feeds](#). Kluwer Academic [aquaculture](#) [Crampton V, Nanton D, Ruohonen K, Skjervold P, El-Mowafi A .24](#) [Demonstration of salmon farming as a net producer of fish\(2010\)](#) [Aquacult Nutr](#) 16: 437-446. [protein and oil](#).
- [Fish meal and fish oil and its role in sustainableJackson A \(2012\)](#) 25 [aquaculture](#). International aquafeed 15: 18-21.
- [Krogdahl A, Penn M, Thorsen J, Refstie S, Bakke A \(2010\)](#) 26 [antinutrients in plant feedstuffs for aquaculture: an update Important](#) Res 41: [regarding responses in salmonids](#). [Aquacult on recent findings](#) 333-344.
- [Effect of plantCollins S, Overland M, Skrede A, Drew M \(2013\)](#) 27 [on growth rate in salmonids: Meta-analysis of dietary protein sources](#) [and canola/rapeseed meals and proteinpea inclusion of soybean](#) [concentrates](#). [Aquaculture](#) 400: 85-100.
- [Bell G, Pratoomyot J, Strachan F, Henderson R, Fontanillas R, et al. 28](#) [Growth, flesh adiposity and fatty acid composition of Atlantic \(2010\)](#) [salar\) families with contrasting flesh adiposity: EffectsSalmosalmon \(](#) 306: [fish oil with vegetable oils](#). [Aquaculture of replacement of dietary](#) 225-232.
- [Replacing dietaryAlhazzaa R, Bridle A, Nichols P, Carter C \(2011\)](#) 29 [echium oil enriched barramundi with C18 PUFA rather fish oil with](#) [Aquaculture](#) 312: 162-171. [than long-chain PUFA](#).
- [Effect of replacement ofHixson S, Parrish C, Anderson D \(2013\)](#) 30 [oil on growth, lipid class andCamelina sativacamelina \(fish oil with](#) [Gadus morhua of farmed juvenile Atlantic cod \(fatty acid composition](#) 1441-1456.). [Fish Physiol Biochem](#) 39: [Full substitution of fish oilHixson S, Parrish C, Anderson D \(2014\)](#) 31 [oil, with partial substitution of fish meal with camelina with camelina](#) [\) and its effectSalmo salarAtlantic salmon \(meal, in diets for farmed](#) [Food Chem](#) 157: 51-61. [on tissue lipids and sensory quality](#).
- [Substitution of fish oil with camelina oilHixson S, Parrish C \(2014\)](#) 32 [Gadusof camelina meal in diets of Atlantic cod \(and inclusion](#) [growth and tissue lipid classes and fatty\) and its effect onmorhua](#) [Sci](#) 92: 1055-67. [acids](#). J Anim [Reducing production related diseases in farmedWaagbo R \(2008\)](#) 33 [O \(ed\) Improving Farmed Fish Quality and Safety](#). VS fish. In: Lie UK, pp 363-398. [Woodhead Publishing](#).
- [Mitdbo L, Ibrahim M, Myrmet L, Aune U, Alvheim A, et al. 34](#) [farmed Atlantic salmon fed soybean oil increases Intake of\(2013\)](#) [lipid accumulation in mice](#). PLOS One [insulin resistance and hepatic](#) 8: 1-11.
- [Francis D, Thanuthong T, Senadheera S, Paolucci M, Coccia E, et al. 35](#) [n-3 LC-PUFA deposition efficiency and appetite-regulating \(2014\)](#) [modulated by the dietary lipid source during rainbow hormones are](#) [finishing periods](#). Fish Physiol Biochem 40: [trout grow-out and](#) 577-593.
- [Saturated lipid sources in feeds for sunshineTrushenski J \(2009\)](#) 36 [alterations in production performance and tissue fatty acid bass](#): 71: 363-373. [Am J Aquacult composition](#). North [Turchini G, Francis D, Senadheera S, Thanuthong T, De Silva S .37](#) [oil replacement with different vegetable oils in Murray Fish\(2011\)](#) ["omega-3 sparing effect" by other dietary fatty cod: Evidence of an](#) [acids](#). [Aquaculture](#) 315: 250-259.
- [-The "n-3 LC Codabaccus B, Carter C, Bridle A, Nichols P \(2012\)](#) 38 [effect" of modified dietary n-3 LC-PUFA content and PUFA sparing](#) 356: [Atlantic salmon smolt](#). [Aquaculture DHA to EPA ratio in](#) 135-140.
- [Xu X, Feng C, Hixson S, Johnstone K, Anderson D, et al. \(2014\)](#) 39 [Characterization of fatty acyl elongase \(elovl\) gene family, and](#) [hepatic elovl and delta-6 fatty acyl desaturase \(fad6\) transcript](#) [expression and fatty acid responses to diets containing camelina oil in](#) [\). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B. Accepted Gadus morhuaAtlantic cod\(](#) [manuscript in press](#).
- [A whole body, in vivo.Turchini G, Francis D, De Silva S \(2007\)](#) 40 [balance method to quantify PUFA metabolism fatty acid](#) [beta-oxidation](#). Lipids 42: 1065-1071. [\(desaturation, elongation and](#) [Fatty acid metabolism \(desaturation.Turchini G, Francis D \(2009\)](#) 41 [and b-oxidation\) in rainbow trout fed fish oil- or linseed oil elongation](#)

- on biometrical, haematological, oxytetracycline antibiotic and histopathological/biochemical, and immunological indices. Shellfish [L.](#), *J Fish Cyprinus carpio* changes in common carp (*Immunol* 35: 1813-1823.
- [Interactions Glencross B, Tocher D, Matthew C, Bell G \(2014\)](#) .85 docosahexaenoic acid and other long-chain between dietary performance and fatty acid retention in polyunsaturated fatty acids on Fish Physiol Biochem DOI [salar](#)). *Salmo* post-smolt Atlantic salmon (*10.1007/s10695-014-9917-8*.
- [-Guzman-Villanueva L, Ascencio-Valle F, Macias-Rodriguez M, Tovar](#) .86 Effects of dietary β -1,3/1,6-glucan on the **D (2013)** Ramirez enzyme activities of Pacific red snapper (antioxidant and digestive lipopolysaccharides. *Fish Physiol*) after exposure to *Lutjanus peru* Biochem *10.1007/s10695-013-9889-0*.
- [Kuhlwein H, Merrifield D, Rawling M, Foey A, Davies S \(2014\)](#) .87 β -(1,3)(1,6)-D-glucan supplementation on growth Effects of dietary morphology and haemato-immunological performance, intestinal Nutr [L.](#), *J Anim Physiol Anim Cyprinus carpio* profile of mirror carp (*98*: 279-289.
- [Lokesh J, Fernandes J, Korsnes K, Bergh O, Brinchmann M, et al.](#) .88 Transcriptional regulation of cytokines in the intestine of (2012) derived mannan oligosaccharide or β -Glucan Atlantic cod fed yeast anguillarum. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 33: [and challenged with Vibrio](#) 626-631.
- [Morales-Lange B, Bethke J, Schmitt P, Mercado L \(2014\)](#) .89 parameters as a tool to evaluate the immunostimulatory Phenotypical Res. [Aquacult Oncorhynchus mykiss](#) effects of laminarin in **Poley J, Purcell S, Igboeli O, Donkin A, Wotton H, et al. (2013)** .90 effects of administration of immunostimulatory Combinatorial up administration of triple-dose compounds in feed and follow- [L. Salmo salar](#) salmon. *SLICE*® (emamectin benzoate) on Atlantic 299-309. *J Fish Dis* 36: *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* infection with Effect of whole and cell wall **Rozita K, Shila S, Mahdy C (2013)** .91 in immunity factors on rainbow trout *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* of). American-Eurasian *J Agric Environ Sci* 13: *Oncorhynchus mykiss* 633-638.
- [Sarker P, Bureau D, Hua K, Drew M, Forster I, et al. \(2013\)](#) .92 related to feeding salmonids: a Canadian Sustainability issues 5: 1-21. [perspective](#). *Rev Aqua*
- [Syakuri H, Jung-Schroers V, Adamek M, Brogden G, Jmazarow I, et](#) .93 [Beta-glucan feeding differentiated the regulation of mRNA \(2014\)](#) al. of claudin genes and prevented an intestinal [expression Aeromonas hydrophila](#) intubation in inflammatory response post Dis 37: 149-156. [L. J. Fish Cyprinus carpio](#) common carp. *Aquaculture* 426: **Talpur A, Munir A, Mary A, Hashim R (2014)** .94 14-20.
- [Rome Declaration on WHO \(World Health Organization\) \(1996\)](#) .95 [Security and World Food Summit Plan of Action](#). Food World Food Organization of the United Nations. [and Agricultural](#)
- capacity for energy production in coho salmon and protein metabolism *Biochem Physiol B* 154: 121-133.). [Comp Oncorhynchus kisutch](#) -**Family Xu Q, Feng C, Hori T, Plouffe D, Buchanan J, et al. (2013)** .64 differences in growth rate and hepatic gene expression in specific growth hormone (GH) transgenic Atlantic salmon (juvenile triploid *Physiol D* 8:317-333.). [Comp Biochem Salmo salar](#) [Biotechnology in aquaculture: Rasmussen R, Morrissey M \(2007\)](#) .65 *Comp Rev Food Sci* 6: 2-16. [and polyploidy, transgenics Diana J, Egna H, Chopin T, Peterson M, Cao L, et al. \(2013\)](#) .66 aquaculture in 2050: valuing local conditions and human Responsible key to success. *Bioscience* 63: 255-262. [innovations will be Fish matters: Importance of aquatic foods Tacon A, Metian M \(2013\)](#) .67 *Rev Fish Sci* 21: 22-38. [nutrition and global food supply, in human Is fish health food or poison? Farmed fish and the Mansfield B \(2012\)](#) .68 43: 413-434. [production of un/healthy nature](#). *Antipode material*. [Alvheim A, Torstensen B, Lin Y, Lillefosse H, Lock E, et al.](#) .69 [acid elevates endogenous Dietary linoleic \(2013\)](#) *Salmo* salmon (2-arachidonolylglycerol and anandamide in Atlantic mice. *Br*) and mice, and induces weight gain and inflammation in *salar* *J Nutr* 109: 1508-1517.
- [Readily available Nichols P, Glencross B, Petrie J, Singh S \(2014\)](#) .70 [long-chain omega-3 oils: Is farmed Australian seafood a sources of good oil than wild-caught seafood? Nutrients](#). 6: [better source of the](#) 1063-1079.
- [Raatz S, Rosenberger T, Johnson L, Wolters W, Burr G, et al.](#) .71 Dose dependent consumption of farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo* (2013) *salar*) increases plasma phospholipid n-3 fatty acids differentially. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 113: 282-287.
- [Torstensen B, Bell G, Rosenlund G, Henderson J, Graff I, et al.](#) .72 of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) flesh lipid Tailoring (2005) replacing fish oil with a vegetable composition and sensory quality by 10178. *oil blend*. *J. Agric Food Chem* 53: 10166-10178.
- [Preferences for health Rudd M, Pelletier N, Tyedmyers P \(2011\)](#) .73 environmental attributes of farmed salmon amongst southern and Econ Manag 15: 18-45. [consumers](#). *Aquacult Ontario salmon*
- [Foran J, Hites R, Carpenter D, Hamilton C, Matthews-Amos A.](#) .74 survey of metals in tissues of farmed Atlantic and wild [Aet al. \(2004\)](#) *Toxicol Chem* 23: 2108-2110. *Pacific salmon*. *Environ*
- [Waagbø R, Berntsen M, Danielsen T, Helberg H, Kleppa A, et al.](#) .75 Feeding Atlantic salmon diets with plant ingredients during (2013) phase – a full-scale net production of marine protein in the seawater performance, welfare, product quality and with focus on biological *Nutr* 19:598-613. [safety](#). *Aquacult*
- [Bell G, Dick J, Strachan F, Guy D, Bertssen M, et al. \(2012\)](#) .76 replacement of fish oil with a blend of vegetable oils affects Complete like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and dioxin, dioxin- *Salmo* (PBDEs) in 3 Atlantic salmon (polybrominated diphenyl ethers *Aquaculture* 324: 118-126.) families differing in flesh adiposity. *salar* Use of [Friesen E, Ikononou M, Higgs D, Ang K, Dubetz C \(2008\)](#) .77 based lipids in aquaculture feeds and the effects on flesh terrestrial fatty acid concentrations in farmed Atlantic organohalogen and 3523. *salmon*. *Environ Sci Tech* 42: 3519-3523.
- [Toward Farrell A, Friesen E, Higgs D, Ikononou M \(2010\)](#) .78 confidence in farmed fish quality: a Canadian improved public Soc of diet selection. *J World Aqua perspective on the consequences* 41: 207-224.
- Food, water, soil, oil: Peak everything- Almost. In: **Oliver J (2013)** .79 Abstracts from Aquaculture Canada Conference, Guelph, Canada, June 1-4 2013.
- [Ahmadi P, Farahmandi H, Miandare H, Mirvaghefi A.](#) .80 effects of dietary Immunogen® on innate [The Hoseinifar S \(2014\)](#) genes expression and disease immune response, immune related Fish Shellfish *mykiss*) *Oncorhynchus* resistance of rainbow trout (*Immunol* 37:209-214.
- [Physical treatment of Berge G, Hatlen B, Odom J, Ruyter B \(2013\)](#) .81 *Yarrowia lipolytica* biomass increases the availability of n-3 high EPA fatty acids when fed to Atlantic salmon. *Aquacult highly unsaturated* *Nutr* 19: 110-121.
- [Innate immune responses Chang C, Huang S, Chen S, Chen S \(2013\)](#) .82 efficacy of using mushroom beta-glucan mixture (MBG) on and aquaculture. *Fish Epinephelus coioides* grouper, orange-spotted 125. *Shellfish Immunol* 35: 115-125.
- [Fish Forever. In: Spotlight 5 EWOS Crampton V, Carr I \(2012\)](#) .83 EWOS, Norway. publication.
- [Dobsikova R, Blahova J, Mikulikova I, Modra H, Praskova E, et](#) .84 effect of oyster mushroom β -1,3/1,6-D-glucan and [Theal. \(2013\)](#)



IJSURP Publishing Academy

International Journal Of Scientific And University Research Publication
Multi-Subject Journal

Editor.

International Journal Of Scientific And University Research Publication



+965 99549511



+90 5374545296



+961 03236496



+44 (0)203 197 6676

www.ijsurp.com