



International Journal Of Scientific And University Research Publication

ISSN No **2364/2018**

Listed & Index with
ISSN Directory, Paris



Multi-Subject Journal



BACTERIOLOGICAL PROFILE AND SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTERN OF SEPTICEMIC NICU AND PICU CHILDREN OF A TERTIARY CARE RURAL HOSPITAL IN AMRITSAR, INDIA.

Sarbjeeet Sharma

ABSTRACT

Septicemia, a common cause of morbidity and mortality among neonates and children is caused by a wide spectrum of constantly changing bacteria. Prompt recognition of the pathogen and appropriate antimicrobial therapy are the key

used by a wide spectrum of constantly changing bacteria. Prompt recognition of the pathogen and appropriate antimicrobial therapy are the key determinants of positive outcome in this serious pediatric emergency. Bacteriological culture from blood sample of the patient remains the mainstay of diagnosis of septicemia. Blood cultures, collected both by conventional and BacTAlert methods, were performed on 100 NICU and PICU septicemic patients of a tertiary care hospital and their bacteriological profile and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern was studied. Among 41 (41%) culture positive blood samples of neonates, MRSA was the predominant isolate being 11/41 (26.8%), followed by MSSA 7/41 (17.1%), *Acinetobacter sp*, *Enterobacter sp* and CONS each 5/41 (12.2%). *E. coli* were 4/41 (9.8%), *Klebsiella sp* 3/41 (7.3%) and only 1 of 41 (2.4%) was *Citrobacter sp*. Out of 30 (30%) culture positive blood samples from PICU, MRSA isolates were highest, 12/30 (40%), followed by *Acinetobacter sp* being 5/30 (16.7%), *E. coli* 4/30 (13.3%), MSSA & *Enterobacter sp* each 3/30 (10%). 2 *Ps. aeruginosa* (6.7%) and 1 *Klebsiella sp* (3.3%) were also isolated. Most of the gram positive organisms were sensitive to Amikacin, Levofloxacin and Vancomycin. Gram negative isolates were mainly sensitive to Piperacillin-Tazobactam combination followed by Amikacin and Imipenem. There were 8 ESBL and 2 MBL producers. In wake of high incidence and morbidity & mortality due to sepsis in neonates and children, there is need for surveillance at regular intervals to know the changing pathogen profile and their susceptibility patterns so as to formulate policies on rational use of antibiotics and infection control.

KEYWORDS :

INTRODUCTION

Septicemia is a common cause of morbidity and mortality in neonates and children despite advanced measures for early diagnosis and treatment, introduction of new antimicrobial agents, considerable progress in hygiene, and aggressive enteral feeding.¹ Neonatal sepsis is defined as a clinical syndrome of septicemia presenting with systemic signs and symptoms of infection in the first four weeks of life.² On the basis of time or postnatal age of onset, neonatal sepsis can be classified into Early onset neonatal sepsis (within 72 hours to 7 days of birth) and Late onset sepsis (7th day to 1 month of birth).³ Early onset infections are thought to be commonly transmitted vertically from mother to child. Late onset neonatal infections are primarily caused by bacteria thriving in external environment i.e. they are either Community or Hospital acquired, mostly acquired from, from the hands of the care takers but may be acquired during delivery by vertical transmission from the mother.^{3,4} Septicemia in children in PICU is a common cause of morbidity and mortality. Most of the infections in PICU are nosocomial. The longer the stay, greater is the contact of patient with the healthcare personnel, higher are the chances of infection through exposure to environmental organisms and invasive procedures, which may end up in septicemia. Risk factors for sepsis in PICU are prolonged central venous catheter use, receipt of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, mechanical ventilation, dialysis and total parenteral nutrition. A wide variety of bacteria can cause septicemia in neonates and children and this bacteriological profile is constantly under change. The common gram positive organisms are *Staphylococcus aureus*, both Methicillin sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) and Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), *Group B streptococcus*, and Coagulase negative *Staphylococci* (CoNS). Gram negative organisms are *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterobacter sp*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Listeria sp*, *E. coli* & *Group B streptococci* (GBS) most frequently cause EOS, being members of the maternal genitourinary tract which may ascend through birth canal to amniotic fluid either through intact amniotic membranes or after their rupture.^{2,5} *Klebsiella sp*, *Enterobacter sp*, *Citrobacter sp*, *Pseudomonas sp*, CoNS and *Staphylococcus aureus*, both Methicillin sensitive and resistant; are more common causes of Late onset septicemia. Bacteriological culture of the offending pathogen from blood sample of the patient remains the mainstay of diagnosis of septicemia. Both neonates and children are susceptible to infections leading to septicemia which makes the knowledge of bacteriological profile, its epidemiology in the region or hospital and monitoring of the same essential.² The shortcomings of blood culture such as low

sensitivity and reporting delay of 24–72 hrs have been overcome in the last decade with the advent of automated continuous blood culture monitoring systems like BacTAlert, ESP System etc.⁶ The present study was designed for isolation and identification of the causative bacterial organisms of septicemia in neonatal and pediatric ICU's and selecting their antibiotic susceptibility pattern thus proving helpful in making a proper policy for rational prophylactic & therapeutic use of antibiotics in that hospital.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology in collaboration with Department of Pediatrics, Sri Guru Ramdas Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Amritsar after the approval of protocol by the Hospital Ethics Committee. The study design was prospective, observational and included 100 consecutive blood samples of suspected septicemic patients in NICU and PICU each over a period of one and a half year from October 2013 to March 2015. A detailed history was taken and also clinical and laboratory findings were noted.

2-3 ml of blood was withdrawn under strict aseptic precautions from the children preferably before the administration of antibiotic therapy. The samples were collected both in blood culture bottle containing Brain heart infusion broth and automated BacTAlert bottles. The brain heart infusion bottles were incubated overnight at 37°C aerobically and sub cultured on blood and MacConkey agar on day 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 & 10. The BacTAlert bottles were placed in the wells of fully automated system for incubation and periodic reading was done. Those signalling positive were cultured on Blood and MacConkey's agar. An incubation period of 7 days was allowed for the bottles in the automated system before reporting them negative. Depending upon the observation of Gram's staining, specific biochemical tests were put up for the final identification. The antibiotic susceptibility testing of the isolates was done by Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar as per Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. MRSA detection was done by putting Cefoxitin disc (30µg). Zone of inhibition of ≥22mm was considered susceptible while ≤21mm was labelled as MRSA as per CLSI. ESBL detection (Extended spectrum beta lactamase) was done in all isolates of *E. coli* & *Klebsiella sp*. by disc potentiation test using Ceftazidime (30µg) with and without clavulanic acid (10µg). A ≥5mm increase in zone diameter in ceftazidime+ clavulanic acid combination as compared to that of ceftazidime alone was labelled as an ESBL producer as per CLSI. Carbapenem resistant isolates of *Acinetobacter spp* and *Pseudomonas spp* tested for Metallo beta lactamase production by double disc synergy test using Meropenem (10µg) and

EDTA. For *Pseudomonas*, an increase in zone of inhibition of ≥ 7 mm in meropenem+EDTA over Meropenem alone was taken as MBL positive. For *Acinetobacter* sp, the zone of inhibition with the Meropenem and EDTA disc was ≥ 17 mm for MBL positive and for MBL negative the inhibition zones of meropenem & EDTA were ≤ 14 mm. The results were compiled, tabulated and analysed statistically to obtain valid conclusions.

Organism	Staph aureus	CONS	Enterobacter sp N (%)	Acinetobacter sp N (%)	E.coli N (%)	Klebsiella sp N (%)	Citrobacter
Antibiotics							
Ampicillin	3(16.7)	1(20)	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Amikacin	9(50)	3(60)	1(20)	2(40)	2(50)	0	1(100)
Amoxyclav	5(27.8)	2(40)	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Gen-tamicin	6(33.3)	1(20)	0	1(20)	1(25)	0	0
Erythro-mycin	12(66.7)	1(20)	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Clindamycin	10(55.6)	2(40)	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT

OBSERVATIONS

Out of the total 200 consecutive blood samples of suspected septicemia patients from NICU and PICU, 71 (35.5%) patients had septicemia confirmed by positive bacterial cultures. NICU showed a higher number of isolates i.e. 41 (41%) followed by PICU, 30 (30%). In NICU, LOS (66%) was more common than EOS (34%). Males were more commonly affected than females. The mean gestational age of septicemic neonates was 34.07 ± 3.13 weeks (mean \pm SD) in NICU. Preterm neonates had a statistically significant higher rate of septicemia (82%) than the term neonates (17%). In PICU, septicemia was more frequently reported in children under 1 year (36.7%) however, NICU age had no influence over culture positivity here. Mean weight of septicemic neonates in this study was 1.78 ± 0.43 kg (mean \pm SD) although it didn't influence culture positivity statistically. The most important risk factor of suspected septicemia in neonates in our study population was respiratory distress (41.5%), followed by premature rupture of membranes (34%) & meconium stained liquor (24.5%) as against CNS disease in PICU. *Staphylococcus aureus* was the predominant gram positive pathogen both in NICU (43.9%) and PICU (50%). There was a high prevalence of MRSA (61%) due to colonization of neonates hospitalized in NICU, thus being the most common cause of LOS (44%) in NICU and also a notorious pathogen causing septicemia in PICU (40%). *Enterobacter* sp and *Acinetobacter* sp. (12.2% each) followed by *E. coli* (9.8%), *Klebsiella* sp (7.3%) and *Citrobacter* sp (2.4%) were the predominant gram negative isolates in NICU. On the other hand, PICU had a predominance of *Acinetobacter* sp (16.7%), *E. coli* (13.3%), *Enterobacter* sp (10%) and *Klebsiella* sp (3.3%). *Pseudomonas*, was isolated only in the blood of septicemic children in PICU with 6.7% as shown in Table 1. All *Staphylococcus aureus* strains in the current study were susceptible to Vancomycin followed closely by Piperacillin-tazobactam. Gram negative isolates showed maximum susceptibility to Imipenem (72.8%) and Piperacillin-tazobactam (69.7%) followed by Amikacin (63.7%). (Table 2 & 3) *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* sp combined showed a high resistance of 75% each to Third generation cephalosporins, of 62.5% to fluoro-quinolones and 87.5% to aminoglycosides. 3 of 4 (75%) *E. coli* isolated from NICU and PICU were ESBL producers, 2 of 3

(66.7%) of *Klebsiella* sp, all from NICU were positive for the same. MBL production, however was demonstrated in only 1 of 5 *Acinetobacter* sp strains from NICU.

Table no 1 showing distribution of bacterial isolates in NICU

NAME OF ISOLATE
MRSA
MSSA
Enterobacter
Acinetobacter
CONS
Escherichia
Pseudomonas
Klebsiella
Citrobacter

&

PICU. (NT- NOT TESTED)

and Becerra et al (a developing country) with 20%. Naher et al in Dhaka with 53%. 11,12 The blood culture yield by using the BacT/alert system in the current study was 34 % which was similar to Bhat Y and Ahmed et al with 29% and 26% respectively. 13,14 The 66% blood culture positivity in neonates with Late Onset Sepsis may be due to the relatively high mortality in early onset cases where delay in arrival to a tertiary care centre like ours due to patients mostly being from low socioeconomic status and rural backgrounds, might lead to death of the neonate prior to admission / treatment. 7,13,15 Sundaram V and Shrestha et al. reported an increase in the incidence of neonatal sepsis caused by *S. aureus* (43.3% & 56.8% resp.) and a decrease in the incidence of same caused by gram-negative bacilli. Similar findings were obtained in our study. 16, 17

Vancomycin	18(100)	4(80)	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Cefotaxime	7(3.9)	4(80)	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Cefpodoxime	7(3.9)	4(80)	0	2(40)	0	0	0

neonatal sepsis may no longer be effective as the sensitivity of Klebsiella and E coli to these drugs was low. E.coli and Klebsiella sp showed a high resistance of 75% to Third generation cephalosporins, of 62.5% to fluoroquinolones and 87.5% to aminoglycosides. The resistance of gram negatives to third generation cephalosporins (80.9%) was high in agreement with Movahedian, Aurangzeb et al.15,21 with 81% and 71.6% respectively. The high resistance of these organisms to third generation cephalosporins can be attributed to the frequent production of ESBL by these organisms. Different rates of ESBL production have been reported by various authors.22,23 Pediatric septicemia can be prevented to a large extent by strict adherence by healthcare workers to hygienic guidelines especially hand washing. Screening of healthcare providers for MRSA carriage should be done and those found to be colonized should be treated. An antibiotic policy with involvement of microbiologists should be implemented in the hospital to prevent the indiscriminate use of antibiotics thereby improving clinical outcome decreasing economic burden on the patient's family, the hospital and the country.

CONCLUSION

An antibiotic policy with involvement of microbiologists should be implemented in the hospital to prevent the indiscriminate use of antibiotics thereby improving clinical outcome decreasing economic burden on the patient's family, the hospital and the country.

ref_str

1. Haque KH. Infection and immunity in the new born. In: Forfar and Arneil's Textbook of Pediatrics. 5th Edition. Campbell AGM, Macintosh N editors. Pearson Professional Limited; 988:273-89.
2. Paulocci M, Landini M P, Sambri V. How can the microbiologist help in diagnosing neonatal sepsis? International Journal of Pediatrics. 2012;120-39.
3. Stoll J Barbara. Epidemiology of Early and Late onset Neonatal infection. Chapter 103. Infection of neonatal infant. Nelson textbook of Pediatrics. 19th edition. Kleigman, Stanton, St. Geme, Schor & Behrman editors. Philadelphia. 2011:2468-94.
4. Jiang JH, Chiu NC, Huang FY, Kao HA, Hsu CH, Hung HY et al. Neonatal sepsis in the neonatal intensive care unit: characteristics of early versus late onset. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2004; 37:301-6.
5. Simonsen Kari A, Anderson-Berry Ann L, Delair Shirley F, Davies H Dele. Early onset Neonatal Sepsis. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2014 Jan; 27(1):21-47.
6. Forbes Betty A, Sahm Daniel F, Weissfeld Alice S. Chapter 52. Bloodstream infections. Bailey and Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology. 12th edition. Mosby Elsevier. Missouri. 2007:778-97.
7. Shim G H, Duk K S, Suk K H, Sun K E, Lee HJ, Lee JA et al. Trends in Epidemiology of Neonatal Sepsis in a Tertiary Center in Korea: A 26-Year Longitudinal Analysis, 1980-2005. J Korean Med Sci. 2011; 26: 284-9.
8. Shrestha R, Shrestha JM, Gurung. Antibiotic Usage and its Sensitivity Pattern in the NICU. Kathmandu University Medical Journal. 2012 June; 11 (2):27-32.
9. Mustafa M, Ahmed L S. Bacteriological profile and antibiotic susceptibility patterns in neonatal septicemia in view of emerging drug resistance. J Med Allied Sci. 2014; 4(1):2-8.
10. Kayange Neema, Kamugisha Erasmus, Mwizamholya Damas L, Seni Jeremiah, Mshana Stephen E. Predictors of positive blood culture and deaths among neonates with suspected neonatal sepsis in a tertiary hospital, Mwanza- Tanzania. BMC Pediatrics. 2010;10:39.
11. Tsering Dechen C, Chanchal L, Pal Ranabir, Kar Sumit. Bacteriological Profile of Septicemia and the Risk Factors in Neonates and Infants in Sikkim. J Glob Infect Dis. 2011 Jan-Mar; 3(1): 425.
12. Becerra Maria R, Tantaleán José A , Suarez Victor J, Alvarado Margarita C, Candela Jorge L, Urcia Flor C. Epidemiologic surveillance of nosocomial infections in a Pediatric Intensive Care Unit of a developing country. BMC Pediatrics. 2010; 10:66.
13. Ahmed NU, Chowdhury MA, Hoque M, Darmstadt GL. The clinical and bacteriological profile of neonatal septicemia in a tertiary level paediatric hospital in Bangladesh. Indian Paediatr. 2002; 39:1034-9.
14. Bhat Y Ramesh, P Lincy. Early Onset of Neonatal Sepsis: Analysis of the Risk Factors and the Bacterial Isolates by Using the BacT Alert System. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2011 November; 5(7):1385-8.
15. Movahedian AH, Moniri R, Mosayebi Z. Bacterial Culture of Neonatal Sepsis. Iranian J Publ Health. 2006; 5(4):84-9.
16. Sundaram V, Kumar P, Dutta S, Mukhopadhyay K, Ray P, Gautam V et al. Blood culture confirmed bacterial sepsis in neonates in a North Indian tertiary care centre: Changes over the last decade. Jpn J Infect Dis 2009; 62:46-50.
17. Shrestha NJ, Subedi KU, Rai GK. Bacteriological profile of neonatal sepsis: A hospital based study. J. Nepal Paediatr. Soc. 2011; 31(1):1-5.
18. Foglia Elizabeth, Meier Mary Dawn, Elward Alexis. Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Neonatal and Pediatric Intensive Care Unit Patients. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2007 July; 20(3):409-25.
19. Sharma P, Kaur P, Aggarwal A. Staphylococcus aureus-the predominant pathogen in the neonatal ICU of a tertiary care hospital in Amritsar, India. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2013 Jan; 7(1):66-9.
20. Srinivasa S, Arunkumar D. Bacterial isolates and their Antibiotic susceptibility patterns in Neonatal sepsis. Curr Pediatr Res. 2014; 18 (2): 83-6.
21. Aurangzeb B, Hameed A. Neonatal sepsis in hospital-born babies: bacterial isolates and antibiotic susceptibility patterns. J Coll Physicians Surg P 2003; 13:629-32.
22. Ananthakrishnan AN, Kanungo R, Kumar A, Badrinath S. Detection of extended spectrum β -lactamase producers among surgical wound infections and burn patients in JIPMER. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2000; 18, 160-65.
23. Mane AK, Nagdeo NV, Thombare VR. Study of neonatal septicemia in a tertiary care hospital in rural Nagpur. Journal of recent advances in applied sciences. 2010; 25:19-24



IJSURP Publishing Academy

International Journal Of Scientific And University Research Publication
Multi-Subject Journal

Editor.

International Journal Of Scientific And University Research Publication



+965 99549511



+90 5374545296



+961 03236496



+44 (0)203 197 6676

www.ijsurp.com